

MAYOR JEFF LANIGAN
Mayor



26-024
MARK DOMENICO
Director

DEPARTMENT OF CODE ENFORCEMENT

ROME CITY HALL, 198 N. WASHINGTON STREET

ROME, NEW YORK 13440-5815

Telephone: (315) 339-7642 Fax: (315) 339-7638

www.romenewyork.com

Notice of Denial of a Building Permit

Date: 8/19/2026

1. Applicant

a. Address:	Tyler Meier
b. Telephone:	125 Riverview Parkway South, Rome
c. Interest in Premises:	315-870-0119
d. Email:	Owner
	tylerjmeier1@gmail.com
2. Property description

a. Address:	5416 Hoag Road
b. Zone District:	AG
c. Current use of property:	vacant lot
d. Zoning standing :	conforming
e. Tax id	257.000-1-48.1

3. Type of Action Requested- Use Variance

The applicant is seeking to construct a Warehouse as a Principal Use

Rome Zoning Code, Table 80-12-1: Use Matrix- Warehouse is a non-permitted use in an agricultural zone district

MAYOR JEFF LANIGAN
Mayor



MARK DOMENICO
Director

DEPARTMENT OF CODE ENFORCEMENT

ROME CITY HALL, 198 N. WASHINGTON STREET

ROME, NEW YORK 13440-5815

Telephone: (315) 339-7642 Fax: (315) 339-7638

www.romenewyork.com

Notice of Denial of a Building Permit

Date: 8/19/2026

1. Applicant

a. Address:	Tyler Meier
b. Telephone:	125 Riverview Parkway South, Rome
c. Interest in Premises:	315-870-0119
d. Email:	Owner
	tylerjmeier1@gmail.com
2. Property description

a. Address:	5416 Hoag Road
b. Zone District:	AG
c. Current use of property:	vacant lot
d. Zoning standing :	conforming
e. Tax id	257.000-1-48
3. Type of Action Requested- Area Variance

The applicant is seeking to construct a gravel driveway

Rome Zoning Code, Sec. 80-14.7. - Driveway design.

(c) Driveways in the outside district. The driveways providing ingress and egress to lots in the outside district served by gravel roadways may be surfaced with gravel. However, any driveway providing ingress/egress to a residential property in the outside district served by a hard surface roadway must consist of a hard surface for, a minimum, from the roadway and of the first 15 feet from the lot line into the property.

CITY OF ROME ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Use Variance Application

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL, OF Tyler & Rebecca Meier, Appellant(s):
for an Area Variance pursuant to Article VIII, Section 56 (b) of the Code of Ordinances of the City of
Rome, Chapter 80 and Article 5-A, Section 81-b of the New York State General City Law

TO THE CITY OF ROME ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS:

SECTION I (SECTION I TO BE COMPLETED BY ZONING OFFICER AND REVIEWED BY APPLICANT):

- A. The appellant(s) hereby appeals to the Zoning Board of Appeals of the City of Rome, New York from a determination of the Zoning Officer who, on the _____ day of _____, 20____, did deny the application of the Appellant, said application affecting property at _____ in a _____ zoning district, in the City of Rome, and having been submitted to the Zoning Officer for the purpose of _____

that such application was denied by the Zoning Officer insofar as it contained a proposed use not permitted or authorized and constituting a violation of Section _____, Schedule _____ of the Rome Code of Ordinances, Chapter 80.

- B. Use Variance Standard: Appellant recognizes that, pursuant to Article 5-A of the General City Law, §81-b(3), no Use Variance shall be granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals without a showing by the Appellant that applicable zoning regulations and restrictions have caused unnecessary hardship. In order to prove such unnecessary hardship the Appellant shall demonstrate to the Zoning Board of Appeals that for each and every permitted use under the zoning regulations for the particular district where the property is located all of the following are applicable:

- (i) the Appellant cannot realize a reasonable return, provided that lack of return is substantial as demonstrated by competent financial evidence;
- (ii) the alleged hardship relating to the property in question is unique, and does not apply to a substantial portion of the district or neighborhood;
- (iii) the requested use variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood; and
- (iv) the alleged hardship has not been self-created.

The Appellant recognizes that the Zoning Board of Appeals, in the granting of Use Variances, shall grant the minimum variance that it shall deem necessary and adequate to address the unnecessary hardship proven by the applicant, and at the same time preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community by such conditions as it may deem reasonable under the circumstances.

SECTION II (SECTION II TO BE COMPLETED BY APPELLANT (S)---attach additional sheets and/or addendums as necessary)

* It may be in your best interest to seek the assistance of an attorney in filling out this Section.

**Explanatory Note(s) are for the sole purpose of helping you, as Appellant, understand the requirements necessary to obtain the Variance contemplated and shall not have any significance to your application or any denial thereof. These Notes are not intended as legal advice, nor are they to be relied on as the current state of the law.

A. Having fully reviewed the above requirements for the granting of a use variance I hereby request such Use Variance in order to supersede the determination of the Zoning Officer denying my application for the following reasons: (complete each paragraph below)

(i) **The Appellant cannot realize a reasonable return, provided that lack of return is substantial as demonstrated by competent financial evidence.** Note: to meet this prong of the Use Variance standard, you must show that the property in question cannot be sold or leased for any permitted use. This showing should be done by demonstrating that an active effort was made to sell or rent the property for each and every permitted use under the zoning regulations. Evidence demonstrating an active effort includes evidence that a realtor was contacted and the property was shown to potential buyers or lessees without success. Additionally, lack of reasonable return may be proven by, among other things: amount paid for the property, the present value of the property, expenses for maintenance, amount of taxes paid, mortgages, income from the land and other relevant factors.

The requested building is directly related to the reasonable development and maintenance of the property, and is not an independent commercial warehouse or income producing. The empty parcel does not require the building to hold its value, but for economic feasibility of ~~the~~ maintenance and facilitating my own construction.

(ii) **The alleged hardship relating to the property in question is unique, and does not apply to a substantial portion of the district or neighborhood.** Note: to meet this prong of the Use Variance standard, you must show that the alleged hardship is unique to the parcel in question, not the neighborhood or City in general.

Many properties have auxiliary building or barns of similar nature - this is the better district agricultural land. This is unique because I do not have a primary structure on the site yet, which prevents me from constructing this auxiliary building without a variance. The ultimate principal use will be a single family residence on the parcel.

(iii) The requested use variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood.

Note: under this prong of the Use Variance standard, the Zoning Board of Appeals must determine whether or not the requested Use Variance will disrupt or alter the character of a neighborhood or inhibit/diminish uses that conform to the zoning regulations. In making said determination, the Board will consider, among other things: change in parking patterns, change in traffic patterns, noise levels, lighting and such other factors it deems necessary. You may meet this prong of the Use Variance standard, by demonstrating the proposed use is substantially similar to existing uses in the particular area.

The proposed building will be a 24X25 post frame construction building with a garage door, similar to other workshops or detached garages. This would not alter the character of the neighborhood, and would not require a variance if the primary structure was already built. For neighbors with a primary dwelling, it would be acceptable use on their property.

(iv) The alleged hardship has not been self-created. Note: this is, perhaps, the most difficult prong of the Use Variance standard to meet, and requires a showing by you that you have not done anything which created the unnecessary hardship for which you are seeking the Use Variance. Purchasing the property knowing the proposed use is not allowed, buying the property without checking the zoning regulations and investing in the proposed use without complying with the zoning regulations are all self-created hardships.

I have invested no money or land attention what so ever in consideration of constructing this building, nor did I buy the land with intentions of forbidden use. The land was purchased to build a single family residence which is acceptable use. I am seeking minimum variance necessary due to sequencing in the order the building was built.

B. I hereby attest that no previous appeal has been made for the Area Variance requested herein.

Sworn to before me this 26th Day of August, 2026.

Dawn Crever

Notary Public

[Signature]

Appellant

DAWN M. CREVER
Notary Public, State of New York
Qualified in Oneida County
Reg. No. 01CR6439853
Commission Expires 8/28/2026

617.20
Appendix B
Short Environmental Assessment Form

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information							
Name of Action or Project: <u>Private Equipment Storage Building</u>							
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map): <u>Outer District - Rural / AG - Greenway New London Area</u>							
Brief Description of Proposed Action: <u>Construction of 24 x 25' equipment storage building on 4.8 acre parcel ahead of construction of state family residence to facilitate property maintenance and construction in the mean time, until becoming an auxiliary building</u>							
Name of Applicant or Sponsor: <u>Tyler Meier</u>		Telephone: <u>315 870 0119</u> E-Mail: <u>tyler.meier@state.nj.gov</u>					
Address: <u>125 Riverview Place</u>							
City/PO: <u>Rome</u>		State: <u>NY</u>	Zip Code: <u>13440</u>				
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.			<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px;">NO</th> <th style="padding: 2px;">YES</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">☒</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">☐</td> </tr> </table>	NO	YES	☒	☐
NO	YES						
☒	☐						
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency? If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval: <u>City of Rome Code Enforcement - Auxiliary Building Permit</u>			<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px;">NO</th> <th style="padding: 2px;">YES</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">☐</td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;">☒</td> </tr> </table>	NO	YES	☐	☒
NO	YES						
☐	☒						
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?		<u>1.81</u> acres					
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?		<u>0.02</u> acres					
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?		<u>13.24</u> acres					
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action.							
☐ Urban ☒ Rural (non-agriculture) ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☒ Residential (suburban) ☐ Forest ☒ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Other (specify): _____ ☐ Parkland							

5. Is the proposed action, a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations? <i>(Auxiliary shed ahead of residential dwelling)</i>	NO ☒	YES ☐	N/A ☐
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?	☐	☒	☐
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?	NO ☐	YES ☒	
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes, identify: _____	NO ☒	YES ☐	
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?	NO ☒	YES ☐	
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?	☒	☐	
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action?	☒	☐	
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies: _____	NO ☐	YES ☒	
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply? If No, describe method for providing potable water: <i>N/A</i>	NO ☒	YES ☐	
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities? If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: <i>N/A</i>	NO ☒	YES ☐	
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?	NO ☒	YES ☐	
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?	☒	☐	
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency? <i>Mud Creek</i>	NO ☐	YES ☒	
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres: _____	☒	☐	
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply: ☐ Shoreline ☐ Forest ☒ Agricultural/grasslands ☐ Early mid-successional ☐ Wetland ☐ Urban ☐ Suburban			
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?	NO ☒	YES ☐	
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?	NO ☒	YES ☐	
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? ☒ NO ☐ YES	NO ☐	YES ☒	
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If Yes, briefly describe: _____	☐ NO ☐ YES		

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? If Yes, explain purpose and size: _____	NO	YES
_____	☒	☐
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? If Yes, describe: _____	NO	YES
_____	☒	☐
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: _____	NO	YES
_____	☒	☐
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor name: <u>Tyler Meier</u> Date: <u>8/22/21</u> Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>		

Part 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2. Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	☐	☐
2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	☐	☐
3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	☐	☐
4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	☐	☐
5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	☐	☐
6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	☐	☐
7. Will the proposed action impact existing:	☐	☐
a. public / private water supplies?	☐	☐
b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	☐	☐
8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	☐	☐
9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	☐	☐

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	☐	☐
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	☐	☐

Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3. For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

☐	Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.
☐	Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.
Name of Lead Agency Date	
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency Title of Responsible Officer	
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	

PRINT

CITY OF ROME ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Area Variance Application

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL, OF Tyler & Rebecca Meyer, Appellant(s):
for an Area Variance pursuant to Article VIII, Section 56 (b) of the Code of Ordinances of the City of
Rome, Chapter 80 and Article 5-A, Section 81-b of the New York State General City Law

TO THE CITY OF ROME ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS:

SECTION I (SECTION I TO BE COMPLETED BY ZONING OFFICER AND REVIEWED BY APPLICANT):

- A. The appellant(s) hereby appeals to the Zoning Board of Appeals of the City of Rome, New York from a determination of the Zoning Officer who, on the ____ day of _____, 20____, did deny the application of the Appellant, said application affecting property at _____ in a _____ zoning district, in the City of Rome, and having been submitted to the Zoning Officer for the purpose of _____

that such application was denied by the Zoning Officer insofar as it contained a proposed use not permitted or authorized and constituting a violation of Section _____, Schedule _____ of the Rome Code of Ordinances, Chapter 80.

- B. Area Variance Standard: Appellant recognizes that, pursuant to Article 5-A of the General City Law, §81-b(4), the Zoning Board of Appeals shall take into consideration the benefit to the appellant if the Area Variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant. In making such determination the Zoning Board of Appeals shall also consider:

- (i) whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance;
- (ii) whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance;
- (iii) whether the requested area variance is substantial;
- (iv) whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district; and
- (v) whether the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the board of appeals, but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

The Appellant recognizes that the Zoning Board of Appeals, in the granting of Area Variances, shall grant the minimum variance that it shall deem necessary and adequate to address the unnecessary hardship proven by the applicant, and at the same time preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community by such conditions as it may deem reasonable under the circumstances.

SECTION II (SECTION II TO BE COMPLETED BY APPELLANT (S)---attach additional sheets and/or addendums as necessary)

* It may be in your best interest to seek the assistance of an attorney in filling out this Section.

**Explanatory Note(s) are for the sole purpose of helping you, as Appellant, understand the requirements necessary to obtain the Variance and shall not have any significance to your application or any denial thereof. These Notes are not intended as legal advice, nor are they to be relied on as the current state of the law.

- A. Having fully reviewed the above requirements for the granting of an Area Variance, I hereby request such Area Variance in order to supersede the determination of the Zoning Officer denying my application for the following reasons (complete each paragraph below):

Note: In making application to the Zoning Board of Appeals for an Area Variance, the Zoning Board of Appeals must take into consideration the benefit of the Area Variance to the Appellant and weigh it against the detriment to the community. In weighing said factors, the Zoning Board of Appeals must consider the five factors enumerated above. The Appellant requests the variance and presents information to substantiate the variance; however, it is the job of the Zoning Board to implement the test.

- (i) whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the Area Variance;

The gravel driveway will not alter the character of the neighborhood negatively. Gravel driveways are common on surrounding properties. This driveway is consistent with the surrounding appearance, and will be ultimately brought to compliance.

- (ii) whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance;

During construction, there is no reasonable or practical way to provide site access without imposing unnecessary cost and duplication. A hard surface driveway ahead of construction would be damaged by heavy equipment.

(iii) whether the requested area variance is substantial;

No - the gravel driveway does not eliminate the driveway surfacing requirement.

Only relief to the requirement is being requested for construction.

This is a temporary and limited deviation

(iv) whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district; and

The driveway will be constructed with appropriate gravel and grading for stable access and proper drainage not towards roadway.

(v) whether the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the board of appeals, but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

The difficulty stems from the desire to use the parcel for a single family dwelling and the logistics of construction and site access stemming from that. See attached - the Engineering Rept supported the gravel driveway construction.

B. I hereby attest that no previous appeal has been made for the Area Variance requested herein.

Sworn to before me this 26th Day of August, 20 26.

Dawn Crever

Notary Public

[Signature]

Appellant

DAWN M. CREVER
Notary Public, State of New York
Qualified in Oneida County
Reg. No. 01CR6439853
Commission Expires 8/29/2026

617.20
Appendix B
Short Environmental Assessment Form

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information			
Name of Action or Project: <i>Temporary Gravel Construction Driveway</i>			
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map): <i>Outer District - Rural/Agricultural - Greenway New London</i>			
Brief Description of Proposed Action: <i>Temporary gravel driveway to provide construction access to new single family residence, to be converted to permanent driveway upon completion of construction.</i>			
Name of Applicant or Sponsor: <i>Tyler Meier</i>		Telephone: <i>315 870 0119</i>	
		E-Mail: <i>tyler-meier1@gmail.com</i>	
Address: <i>125 Riverview Pkwy S</i>			
City/PO: <i>Rome</i>		State: <i>NY</i>	Zip Code: <i>13420</i>
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation?			NO YES
If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.			☒ ☐
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency?			NO YES
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval: <i>Rome Engineering Dept - Driveway Permit</i>			☐ ☒
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?		<i>1.21</i> acres	
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?		<i>0.06</i> acres	
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?		<i>13.24</i> acres	
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action.			
☐ Urban ☒ Rural (non-agriculture) ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☒ Residential (suburban) ☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Other (specify): _____ ☐ Parkland			

5. Is the proposed action, a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations? <i>(Improper surfacing)</i>	NO ☐	YES ☒	N/A ☐
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?	☐	☒	☐
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?	NO ☐	YES ☒	
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes, identify: _____	NO ☒	YES ☐	
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?	NO ☒	YES ☐	
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?	☒	☐	
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action?	☒	☐	
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies: _____	NO ☐	YES ☒	
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply? If No, describe method for providing potable water: _____ <i>N/A</i>	NO ☒	YES ☐	
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities? If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: _____ <i>N/A</i>	NO ☒	YES ☐	
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?	NO ☒	YES ☐	
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?	☒	☐	
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency? <i>Mud Creek</i>	NO ☐	YES ☒	
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres: _____	☒	☐	
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply: ☐ Shoreline ☐ Forest ☒ Agricultural/grasslands ☐ Early mid-successional ☐ Wetland ☐ Urban ☐ Suburban			
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?	NO ☒	YES ☐	
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?	NO ☒	YES ☐	
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? ☒ NO ☐ YES	NO ☐	YES ☒	
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If Yes, briefly describe: _____ ☒ NO ☐ YES			

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? If Yes, explain purpose and size: _____	NO ☒	YES ☐
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? If Yes, describe: _____	NO ☒	YES ☐
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: _____	NO ☒	YES ☐
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor name: <u>Tyler Meier</u> Date: <u>8/22/26</u> Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>		

Part 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2. Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	☐	☐
2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	☐	☐
3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	☐	☐
4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	☐	☐
5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	☐	☐
6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	☐	☐
7. Will the proposed action impact existing:	☐	☐
a. public / private water supplies?	☐	☐
b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	☐	☐
8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	☐	☐
9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	☐	☐

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	☐	☐
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	☐	☐

Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3. For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.


☐	Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.
☐	Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.
Name of Lead Agency Date	
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency Title of Responsible Officer	
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	

PRINT

Proposed Shed Site Plan
5416 Hoag Road, Rome, NY
257.000-1-48 Lot 1

North

Future
Dwelling
Area
(50x60)



Gravel
Driveway

Hoag Road

269' 4 11/16"

100' 0"

150' 0"

165' 4 7/16"

162' 10 1/16"

198' 6 1/8"

312' 0"

5416 Hoag Rd.

